

## Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 02583 251901 Z

45

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 ADP-00 EURE-00 SS-15 NSC-10 USIE-00 SSO-00

NSCE-00 INRE-00 CIAE-00 PM-07 INR-10 L-03 NEA-10

NSAE-00 PA-03 RSC-01 PRS-01 GAC-01 TRSE-00 MBFR-03

SAJ-01 ACDA-19 EB-11 IO-12 RSR-01 /134 W  
----- 041303

O P 251800 Z MAY 73

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 249

INFO SECDEF WASHDC IMMEDIATE

AMEMBASSY BONN PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY LONDON PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY OSLO PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY REYKJAVIK IMMEDIATE

USMISSION USUN PRIORITY

USLOSACLANT PRIORITY

USNMR SHAPE PRIORITY

COMICEDEFOR PRIORITY

S E C R E T USNATO 2583

E. O. 11652: GDS 12-31-81

TAGS: PFOR, PBOR, MARR, IC

SUBJECT: ICELANDIC FISHERIES DISPUTE: MAY 25 NAC DISCUSSION

BEGIN SUMMARY: SEVERAL ALLIES BELIEVED THAT NATO SHOULD CONTINUE TO MAINTAIN A LOW- PROFILE IN FISHERIES DISPUTE. SYG LUNS HINTED WILLINGNESS TO PROVIDE HIS GOOD OFFICES, BUT ALLIES BELIEVED HE SHOULD CONFINE HIS ROLE TO PRIVATE, UNOFFICIAL INVESTIGATION. MILITARY COMMITTEE BRIEFED COUNCIL ON LARGE- SCALE SOVIET NAVAL EXERCISE NEAR ICELAND. END SUMMARY.

1. NAC DISCUSSION STARTED WITH BRIEFING BY CHAIRMAN OF MILITARY COMMITTEE ON LARGE- SCALE SOVIET NAVAL EXERCISE BEING HELD NEAR  
SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 02583 251901 Z

ICELAND. SEE SEPTTEL.

2. LUNS EXPRESSED HIS CONCERN OVER DEVELOPMENTS IN ICELAND, PARTICULARLY STATEMENT BY FISHERIES MINISTRY HOLDING NATO

RESPONSIBLE FOR FISHERIES DISPUTE. LUNS NOTED INTERRELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FISHERIES IMBOGLIO, ARTICLE VII ISSUE, AND QUESTION OF ICELAND' S MEMBERSHIP IN NATO. HE REPORTED THAT HE HAD SUGGESTED PRIVATELY TO ICELANDIC AMBASSADOR A FEW WEEKS AGO THAT HE WOULD BE WILLING TO OFFER GOOD OFFICES.

3. ICELAND ( TOMASSON) SAID HE WOULD CONVEY MILITARY COMMITTEE REPORT AND LUNS EXPRESSION OF CONCERN TO ICELANDIC GOVERNMENT, BUT ONLY TOOK NOTE OF LUNS OFFER OF GOOD OFFICES. NO ONE ELSE PICKED UP LUNS' OFFER, ALTHOUGH BELGIUM ( DE STAERCCKE) SAID THAT LUNS MIGHT MAKE PRIVATE, UNOFFICIAL INQUIRIES INTO POSSIBILITIES FOR RESOLVING THE DISPUTE.

4. LUNS ASKED NORWEGIAN PERMREP ABOUT REPORT THAT NORWAY MIGHT MEDIATE THE DISPUTE, BUT BUSCH DID NOT REPLY. UK PERMREP ( PECK) ASKED FOR A DEFINITION OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE US- GOI AGREEMENT AND THE DEFENSE OF ICELAND. LUNS REPLIED THAT THE NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY EXPRESSES A GENERAL OBLIGATION FOR MUTUAL DEFENSE IN CASE OF AGGRESSION AND THAT THE US- ICELAND AGREEMENT WAS DESIGNED TO BETTER SECURE THE DEFENSE OF ICELAND. PECK DID NOT PURSUE THIS LINE OF QUESTIONING. LUNS THEN ASKED PECK WHAT UK INTENTIONS WERE IN THE DISPUTE. PECK RECALLED HIS MAY 21 STATE- MENT TO THE COUNCIL ( USNATO 2527) AND SAID THAT THE UK IS WILLING TO GO BACK TO NEGOTIATIONS AND TO WITHDRAW ITS NAVAL PROTECTION AS SOON AS IT IS KNOWN THAT HARASSMENT OF BRITISH FISHING TRAWLERS WILL CEASE. PECK THEN EXPRESSED HIS GOVERNMENT' S APPRECIATION FOR THE ICELANDIC GOVERNMENT' S RESPONSIBLE ATTITUDE IN OFFERING IMMEDIATE COMPENSATION FOR THE DAMAGE TO THE BRITISH EMBASSY IN REYKJAVIK.

5. TURKEY FELT THAT NATO AND SYG LUNS SHOULD BE CAUTIOUS ABOUT BECOMING INVOLVED IN THIS DISPUTE IN THAT NATO IS BECOMING A FACTOR IN THE INTERNAL DEBATE IN ICELAND. DRAWING UPON STATE 100768 AMBASSADOR RUMSFELD UNDERLINED THE NEED FOR CAUTION. ITALY CONCURRED.

RUMSFELD  
SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 02583 251901 Z

SECRET  
NMAFVVZCZ  
<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 02 APR 1999  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 25 MAY 1973  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** boyleja  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1973NATO02583  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** NATO  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1973/newtext/t19730558/abqcdzsl.tel  
**Line Count:** 96  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** n/a  
**Original Classification:** SECRET  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 2  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** SECRET  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** boyleja  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 07 AUG 2001  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <07-Aug-2001 by boyleja>; APPROVED <12-Sep-2001 by boyleja>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** ICELANDIC FISHERIES DISPUTE: MAY 25 NAC DISCUSSION  
**TAGS:** PFOR, PBOR, MARR, IC  
**To:** STATE INFO SECDEF  
BONN  
BRUSSELS  
COPENHAGEN  
LONDON  
OSLO  
REYKJAVIK

USUN  
PRIORITY  
USNMR SHAPE  
COMICEDEFOR

**Type:** TE

**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005